

Using stability classes F and G in the development of Emergency Response Scenario Plans

RISK 2016: Friday 20th May
Presenter: Patrick Walker
Position: Senior Risk Engineer

R4
RISK





Introduction


- Emergency Response Scenario Plan
 - Overview
 - Extent of Effects
- Consequence Modelling
 - Overview
 - Inputs
- Atmospheric Stability Class / Weather Conditions
 - Overview
 - Selection
- Modelling Atmospheric Stability Class
 - Vapour Dispersion
 - Dispersion Coefficients
 - Example

Emergency Response Scenario Plan: Overview

The image displays three overlapping Emergency Response Scenario Plan forms. The top form is a 'FIRE CONTINGENCY FIRE PLAN' for 'ABC Chemical Plant'. It includes sections for 'FIRE CONTINGENCY FIRE PLAN', 'PROBABLE & INCIDENT DETAILS', 'ISOLATION DETAILS', 'ELUCIDATION', 'POTENTIAL CONSEQUENCES - JET FIRE', 'FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT IN VICINITY', 'ADDITIONAL FIRE EQUIPMENT / RESOURCES REQUIRED', 'FIRM AND WATER REQUIREMENTS', and 'CONTAINMENT DETAILS'. The middle form is an 'EMERGENCY FIRE PLAN' for 'DEFI', which includes 'FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT IN VICINITY' and 'ADDITIONAL FIRE EQUIPMENT / RESOURCES REQUIRED'. The bottom form is a 'FIRE CONTINGENCY FIRE PLAN' for 'GHI', which includes 'POTENTIAL FIRE IMPACT DISTANCES' and a diagram showing concentric circles around a central point. The diagram includes a legend with symbols for 'Master with hot hydrants heads', 'Fire monitor with hot hydrants heads', 'Isolated off-line tank', 'Fire flow with 30min water', 'Fire flow with 15min water', 'Emergency Control', 'High Protection Priority Equipment', 'ECC Station', 'ESD/SDP', 'Isolated column', 'T-1', 'Fire Design Control System', and 'Release Location'. Each form has a 'RESTRICTED - For internal use only' watermark.

- Information necessary to manage a major incident
- Details concerning the major incident:
 - Description
 - Process isolation
 - Response equipment on-site
 - Required additional resources
 - Extent of effects (on-site & off-site).
- Training tool used to test systems against the requirements of the emergency event
- Used in consultations with emergency services

Emergency Response Scenario Plan: Extent of Effects



Potential on-site
& off-site effects



Consequence Modelling: Overview

- Consequence modelling is used to determine the extent of the impact (*effects*) of a major incident
- Consequence modelling software packages (e.g. [DNV-GL PHAST](#)) are used to evaluate the impact of:
 - Radiant heat from fires
 - Overpressure from explosions
 - Harmful concentrations from toxic releases
- Various consequence types rely on gas dispersion modelling (e.g. flammable vapour clouds, toxic impacts)



Consequence Modelling: Inputs

- Specify major incident details:
 - Material, temperature, pressure
 - Hole size
 - Release location, orientation, height
- Specify impact criteria (*effect*) of interest
 - E.g. Onset of fatality for toxic releases
- Specify weather conditions for local area
 - Wind speed
 - Temperature
 - Stability class



Atmospheric Stability Class: Overview

- Atmospheric stability class describes the turbulence generated by natural forces in the atmosphere
 - Vertical mixing caused by air particle movement
- General states of atmospheric stability:
 - Stable – Calm evening
 - Neutral – Overcast / windy evening
 - Unstable – Sunny day
- Main influencing parameters:
 - Solar insolation
 - Cloud cover
 - Wind speed
 - Temperature gradient

Atmospheric Stability Class: Overview

- Classification schemes estimate an appropriate stability class based on local knowledge of influencing parameters


Wind Speed, m/s	Solar Insolation			Night Time	
	Strong	Moderate	Slight	Thin Overcast or >1/2 low clouds	<3/8 cloudiness
<2	A	A-B	B	-	-
2-3	A-B	B	C	E	F
3-4	B	B-C	C	D	E
4-6	C	C-D	D	D	D
>6	C	D	D	D	D

Pasquill, F., "The estimation of the dispersion of windborne material", The Meteorological Magazine, Vol. 90, No. 1,063, Feb. 1961.

- Very low wind speed (<2 m/s) - lack of quantitative knowledge

Atmospheric Stability Class: Overview

Side View of a Dispersing Vapour Cloud





Atmospheric Stability Class: Selection

Stable Categories of E & F

- In dispersion modelling, stable conditions are used to represent "worst-case" impacts
- Stability class E classified by:
 - Slightly stable conditions
 - Night-time, low wind speeds (2-4 m/s)
 - Temperature inversion
- Stability class F classified by:
 - Moderately stable conditions
 - Night-time, low to very low wind speeds (<3 m/s)
 - Moderate temperature inversion
- Terrain characteristics (*surface roughness*) are also influential



Atmospheric Stability Class: Selection

Most Stable Category G

- Extremely stable
- Occurrence in specific situations / environments:
 - Arid rural areas
 - Clear night with ground frost / heavy dew
 - Over water
- Stability class G classified by:
 - Night-time
 - Cloudless
 - Strong temperature inversion
 - Very low wind speed (<2 m/s)
 - Flat terrain

Example of Stability Class G: Nevada Nuclear Test Site





Atmospheric Stability Class: Selection


- Stability classes A-F selected from well-established classifications
 - Applicable to different situations
- Basis for selecting stability class G is less clear:
 - Typically not adopted in classifications
- Use of stability class G requires consideration as to whether the very specific atmospheric conditions are actually possible for the location
- Stability class F is preferred in low wind speed, night time conditions



Atmospheric Stability Class: Example

- Small liquid release from chlorine drum (920 kg)
- Toxic vapour dispersion modelling of effects to a specific toxic impact criteria
- Examine night-time wind speed / stability class categories:
 - 1.5/F ; 1.5/G
 - 1.0/F ; 1.0/G

Atmospheric Stability Class: Example





Atmospheric Stability Class: Example

- Demonstrates that the inappropriate selection of stability class leads to larger impact zones
- **Significant implications for Emergency Response Scenario Plans**


Atmospheric Stability Class

$$f(\text{trash can}) = \text{trash can}$$

“...all models are wrong, some are useful...”

- George E. P. Box

Modelling Atmospheric Stability Class: Vapour Dispersion






Modelling Atmospheric Stability Class: Dispersion Coefficients

- Stability classes are represented in the dispersion models using Gaussian dispersion coefficients
- Dispersion coefficients describe the horizontal (σ_y) and vertical (σ_z) spread of the cloud in the “passive” dispersion phase
- Dispersion coefficients are derived from experimental results and theory

Modelling Atmospheric Stability Class: Dispersion Coefficients

1520-1850 CST, 11 August 1956

Parameter:	v	v		
Height (m)	8	2		
Channel:	1x1	2x2	1x3	2x(1-00*)
Average (cph)				
Frequency				
54	19.2	42.7	21.4	+ .955
66	11.9	41.0	17.5	+3.09
79	8.44	23.2	8.34	+3.14
	5.50	9.40	3.92	+3.24
	4.12	10.2	3.56	+3.63
	3.1	8.34	3.03	+3.69
	2.54	5.26	1.46	+2.84
	2.20	4.27	1.37	-.501
305	1.10	3.26	-.306	+2.09
235	1.35	5.24	-.67	
265	-.942			
298	-.890	-.566	-.389	
335	-.850	-.909	-.097	
370	-.542	1.09	-.151	
421	-.681	-.966	-.340	
470	-.408	-.700	-.947	
523	-.392	-.496	-.181	
560	-.377	-.290	-.102	
641	-.284	-.425	-.099	
706	-.204	-.366	-.096	
775	-.220	-.342	-.096	
848	-.161	-.235	-.028	
928	-.133	-.285	-.018	
1009	-.102	-.290	-.044	
1097	-.079	-.132	-.024	
1190	-.088	-.162	-.014	
1280	-.086	-.107	-.001	
1391	-.053	-.115	-.040	
1498	-.001	-.128		
1607	-.061	-.092		
1724	-.041	-.100		
1842	-.035	-.096		
1963	-.038	-.098		
2087	-.025	-.061		
2214	-.024	-.053		
2344	-.020	-.060		
2476	-.015	-.046		
2610	-.013	-.041		
2746	-.015	-.035		
2884	-.010	-.037		
3024	-.009	-.028		



$$\sigma = \exp [I + J (\ln x) + K (\ln x)^2]$$

Fig. 4.4 Curves of σ_y and σ_z for turbulence types based on those reported by Pasquill (F. A. Gifford, Turbulent Diffusion-Typing Schemes: A Review, *Nucl. Saf.*, 17(1): 71 (15)

Stability condition ^a	I	J	K
A	5.357		-0.0076
B	5.058		-0.0096
C	4.851		-0.0076
D	4.230		-0.0087
E	3.922		-0.0064
F	3.533		-0.0070



McMullen, R., "The Change of Concentration Standard Deviations with Distance", *APCA NOTE-BOOK*, Vol. 25, No. 10, Oct. 1975.

Barad, M.L. (Editor) (1958): *Project Prairie Grass, A Field Program In Diffusion.*



Modelling Atmospheric Stability Class: Dispersion Coefficients


- Correlations for stability classes A-F obtained from experimental observations and theory
- For stability class G, no dispersion coefficients / experimental data available to derive correlations
- Correlations derived by extrapolating from the dispersion coefficients for stability classes A-F
 - Assumes less dispersion for G than F
- Actual dispersion characteristics ill-defined
 - Irregular, meandering, no definable travel




Modelling Atmospheric Stability Class: Example

- Large liquid release from Ethylene Isotainer
- Vapour dispersion modelling to determine the extent of the potential flammable effects
- Stable atmospheric categories:
 - 2.0/E
 - 1.5/F ; 1.5/G
 - 1.0/F ; 1.0/G

Modelling Atmospheric Stability Class: Example



Modelling Atmospheric Stability Class: Example





Conclusion

- Gas dispersion modelling is critical component of Emergency Response Scenario Plans (e.g. toxic releases)
 - Quantification of off-site impact
- Stable conditions used to quantify “worst-case” extents
 - However, inappropriate use of the extremely stable stability class G leads to overstated impact distances
- Stability class F is preferred:
 - Stable conditions most appropriate for sites examined
 - Dispersion correlations based on experiment results, rather than an assumed extrapolation

Thank you



R4Risk

Level 14, 222 Kings Way (PO Box 5023)
South Melbourne VIC 3205

P: 03 9268 9700
F: 03 8678 0650

E: solutions@r4risk.com.au
www.r4risk.com.au